

Journal of Solar Energy Research (JSER)

Journal homepage: jser.ut.ac.ir



Climate comparative building energy optimization: a retrofit approach including solar photovoltaic and natural ventilation.

Negin Maftouni^{a,*}, Shadi Sadat Khoddami^a

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Alzahra University, Deh-Vanak, Tehran, 1993893973, Iran.

ABSTRACT

Given the importance of optimal consumption of energy in buildings, as one of the major energy consumption parts, a real residential villa was simulated in the hot and dry and also hot and humid climates in this study. The solar irradiation of photovoltaic panels was used for partial supply of energy needs of the building. The proposed strategies to improve the building and reduce its energy consumption included thermal insulators embedded in the walls and the ceiling, and replacement of simple old windows with double glazed windows equipped with temperature sensors and smart interior shadings. Applying all improvement strategies, energy consumption in the building was reduced by 64% in the hot and dry climate and by 59% in the hot and humid climate. Solar power could support 67.3% of electricity in Isfahan and 42.3% of it in Bandar Abbas. Among the proposed scenarios, natural ventilation was not applicable in Bandar Abbas as a city in a hot and humid climate.

Keywords: Building energy optimization; Solar energy; Climate; Design Builder.

1. Introduction

The biggest global challenges to sustainable development are the diminution of energy and water resources and increased environmental pollutions. Global statistics report the construction sector accounting for 40% energy consumption and 30% of the world's greenhouse gas emissions[1]. The best strategy to reduce global energy consumption and emission of harmful gases to the environment is to improve energy efficiency in buildings. According to studies, the 30-year period between 1983 and 2012 is likely to have been the warmest in the past 1,400 years[2]. Given the longevity of buildings and the initial construction cost, the impacts of construction on climate change and the importance of reducing energy consumption and pollution production should be considered[3].

The major contribution of the building energy consumption is related to the buildings constructed prior to the need for compliance with the sustainability criteria that need to be improved in order to reduce energy consumption in the construction sector[4]. Research also shows that renovating existing buildings has a significant impact on reducing the total global energy demand[5].

The construction of new buildings and the creation of different applications make up a large portion of the total final energy consumption in the world[6]. Statistics also suggest that in the construction sector, most of the energy consumption occurs in the existing buildings, with the energy consumption of new constructions rating about 1 to 3 percent of the existing buildings per year[7, 8]. Therefore, improving the energy efficiency of existing buildings is more important than constructing new ones to reduce global energy consumption and promote environmental sustainability [4].

Generally, two solutions are offered when designing urban renewal projects; one is to maintain and improve existing buildings with minor modifications and interventions, and another is to replace existing buildings with new ones. Making improvements to existing buildings may be acceptable if the current status of the building is good enough to meet current needs, but in general improving the current buildings is closer to the principles of sustainability[9].

Improving existing buildings for energy efficiency has also been recognized as an effective step towards reducing global energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions [10].

Among studies conducted to investigate the significance, advantages and disadvantages of improvement, is a study by Letham in 2000, in which he discussed the importance of reusing

existing buildings and even changing their use given their current status. Letham considers using existing buildings to be far more creative than constructing new ones. His paper provided the starting point for his book, in which he examines a case study and factors affecting the state of reusing old structures[11]

In 2006, Shipley et al. focused on commercializing and reconstructing existing buildings, especially historic ones in Ontario, Canada. In some cases they examined, improving a building to be reused was more costly than constructing a new one; but in general, the existing building had more positive economic impacts along with other factors compared to new constructions[12].

In the same year, in his book, Douglas introduced how to adapt existing buildings by improving and renovating them. This book discusses the reasons for renovating buildings, feasibility conditions, advantages and disadvantages, maintenance, energy efficiency, compliance with sustainability principles, and how to apply changes to improve existing buildings[13].

In 2007, Itard et al. discussed the environmental impact of renovating existing buildings compared to constructing new ones. In this study, renovation, maintenance and redevelopment of Dutch urban textures was investigated and compared. The parameters investigated in this study were materials, energy, water consumption and environmental impacts calculated based on the building life cycle. Based on the results of this study, reconstruction of existing buildings imposes less environmental impact, and directs new construction such that the longevity of the buildings suffices for future reconstruction and improvement practices[9].

In 2011, Bolen et al. conducted interviews with owners and users of various buildings to inform them on the benefits and strategies to preserve buildings and reuse them. According to the analysis of these interviews, three factors influenced owners' decision to preserve buildings, including the amount of national capital, assets status and regulations. Eco-social sustainability principles were also considered important, but less prioritized by owners and users [14].

Given the extending construction process in recent years in Iran and consequently the growing need for reconstruction, as well as ecological and climatic problems in Iran, in a study conducted in 2016, Afzalian et al. presented the principles of passive architecture design based on green principles and sustainability by examining case studies objectively [15]

In 2015, Oliviera et al. introduced a new system aimed at adhering to the principles of sustainability by reviewing existing systems to reconstruct and improve historic buildings. The study was conducted on a historic building in Portugal and a questionnaire was filled by beneficiaries in order to investigate aspects of sustainability, as well as some economic information and parameters [16].

In 2016, Alam et al. reviewed and evaluated guidelines and research conducted in this area to develop guidelines for the reconstruction of existing buildings for energy efficiency purposes. In this study, guidelines developed in the United States, England, Singapore, Australia, and India were investigated and compared. According to the results of these studies, the common disadvantage of these guidelines were assessing their constraints and managing them. They also examined factors affecting the choice of building reconstruction such as economy, community, energy, and awareness[10]. In 2017, Littlewood et al. investigated the current status of buildings in Wales and the impact of their reconstruction on economy, carbon emissions, energy performance, thermal comfort and user health. Unlike other studies conducted in the UK, all of the above-mentioned parameters were investigated simultaneously while affecting one another [17].

Di Agostino et al. also examined the different levels of improvement including surface and deep level and approaching near-zero energy, and introduced the best policies and administrative strategies to improve existing non-residential buildings. The study also emphatically compared existing residential and non-residential buildings and returns on capital as an important parameter in Europe[18].

In some of the studies conducted on improvement of the current status, a specific construction sector was considered; for example, in 2016, Karimian examined the energy improvement process of buildings in warm and dry climate of Iran in his Master's thesis with an ecological attitude while considering climate change. The sample investigated in this study was a common office building in Isfahan in which energy audit was conducted with the aim of profound improvement. Open Studio and Energy Plus were employed in this study, and appropriate details were suggested after calibration and evaluation of optimization scenarios and adhering to minimum shell requirements. In this study, using fixed awnings, internal insulation, low emission film, and secondary windows and doors reduced energy consumption by 19% and solar cells were used for deep improvement[19].

In 2013, Arias investigated the process of improving building facades to increase energy efficiency in a master's thesis focusing on existing buildings from the mid-20th century, most of which equipped with mechanical systems. In most samples, facade retrofitting was introduced as the first approach to reconstruction for rapid action. However, in this study, several solutions have been investigated using simulation process. The building in question was located in a temperate and climate, on which passive solutions were evaluated[20].

One of the functional studies on improvement of existing buildings is the study by Chadiac et al. conducted in 2011 who explored various methods and approaches to improve office buildings in Canada with the aim of achieving the most economically viable status, and finally obtained a methodology. To find this methodology, several other factors such as climate, user conditions, heating and cooling systems, shells and building shape were also considered[5].

In 2012, Ma et al. provided a planned system to select and identify the best process and strategy for reconstruction of existing buildings. They examined the most important and key issues in the reconstruction of existing buildings, and identified building energy audits, economic analysis, potential risks and constraints, and certification of energy storage as the most important measures in assessing the current status of existing buildings. They have also addressed the technologies and strategies of building reconstruction to raise awareness on the importance and impact of reconstruction on sustainability and energy consumption[4].

One of the case studies on improvement was conducted in 2015 by Shan et al. to reconstruct a floor of a house in Beijing with an energy storage approach using passive systems. A layer of polystyrene insulation was used in the reconstruction of this house as the heat insulation in the walls and ceiling. Results of this study indicated that energy consumption reduced by 57% and the period of return on capital required for reconstruction was estimated as 5 to 6 years[21].

Since developing energy efficiency policies has been an important tool in resolving the energy, water and climate change crises, in recent years many governments have taken steps to reduce energy consumption of buildings, convert them into zeroenergy buildings and, consequently, reduce carbon emissions. In 2018, in a research project sponsored by the Australian Department of Environment and Energy, with an emphasis on standardizing and developing economic and administrative plans for zero-energy buildings, Harrison obtained different definitions of zero-energy buildings and administrative policies in their construction[22].

In addition, in terms of zero-energy buildings, near to zero energy and other related definitions, Torcellini et al. critically examined different definitions of zero and pure zero-energy buildings and provided various definitions for each one with regard to influential parameters such as construction site[23].

In addition, in 2009, Marsal et al. studied different definitions of zero-energy buildings during a technical report at the University of Aalborg. Based on the results of their study on existing definitions of zero-energy building, they have found the exact definition to be very complex which demanded a wide range of terms. In general, given the differences and similarities of available definitions, zero-energy structures can be defined from different perspectives[24].

In 2014, in a study sponsored by Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation [25], Wei at al. studied and evaluated policies, indicators and definitions of zero energy buildings, related codes and standards, required infrastructures, related organizations and some examples of such buildings have been addressed in leading countries such as Canada, Japan, USA, Korea and China to find out the latest advances in zero-energy building types and improve their performance in Asia[25].

In 2018, in a case study in Tabriz metropolis, Namdar Akbari et al. investigated the feasibility of creating and developing zero-energy buildings in Iranian metropolises. By evaluating statistics of peak electricity consumption in some months and the potential for using renewable energies such as solar radiation and wind in Iran, they studied design strategies for zero-energy buildings to find the most effective solutions[19].

In 2016, Cao et al. examined the state of energy consumption in existing buildings and the trend of change in the next century and its impacts on climate change, as well as zero-energy buildings as a strategy to reduce energy consumption in buildings. They also revised a design approach to zero-energy buildings as a combination of traditional green architecture and new energy production technologies[1].

In a study conducted in 2019, Liu et al. conducted a comprehensive analysis on the definitions. development and design rules for near-zero-energy buildings, with an emphasis on Chinese buildings. In their paper, they described the international definitions of zero-energy buildings, analyzed the latest definitions and determined the design boundaries of zero- and near-zero-energy buildings in China, and also provided suggestions to design building and develop its administrative policies[26]. In this study, an over 35-year-old real residential building in Isfahan was studied to optimize energy consumption. According to rich solar radiation in

many parts of Iran, it is a good idea to use this renewable energy resource to support building energy needs. Here, several solutions were applied, such as photovoltaic panels, natural ventilation, installation of various insulators, built-in smart awnings and multiple glazing windows. The system was initially calibrated with existing water and utility bills. Assuming the same building in Bandar Abbas climate, the influence of climate on energy consumption was also investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

The solar panels were used for energy optimization in this study in parallel with some other scenarios. Thermal insulations were also proposed for the ceiling and walls. Moreover, the benefits of replacing simple single windows with advanced double glazed windows integrating thermal sensors were investigated. The Design Builder software [27]was used to simulate the building and calculate thermal loads and energy consumption under different scenarios. The data from the electricity and gas bills were used to validate the software outputs. The effect of different climatic conditions on the energy consumption of the building was analyzed assuming that it is located in the hot and climate of Bandar Abbas, Iran.

This study investigates a residential villa building aged over 35 years old, located in the hot and dry climate of Isfahan city in Iran and also the similar one assumed to be located in hot and humid climate in Bandar Abbas to consider the effects of different climates. Figure 1 shows this single-story building with a basement used for storage purposes.



Figure 1. Real building in Isfahan city.

The thermophysical properties of the materials are presented in the different tables. First, without applying the optimization approaches, the heating and cooling energy consumptions were calculated in a one-year period and considered as the base state for both considered cities. The base state results were then compared to the simulated building after applying some modifications to the building, such as using photovoltaic solar panels, and using thermal insulation in the walls and ceiling, natural ventilation, smart shadings, and automatic doubleglazed windows including thermal sensors.

Gas was used as the fuel for the heater packages and wall-mountable water heaters, and the evaporative coolers were used for cooling. Moreover, all spaces were exposed to sunlight through openings and glass doors.

Figures 2 and 3 show the simulated building from two different angles in the Design Builder.



Figure 2. Schematic of the simulated building from angle 1.



Figure 3. Schematic of the simulated building from angle 2.

Figures 4 and 5 show the overall geographical position of the sun in different months and different hours of the day for Isfahan and Bandar Abbas, respectively.



Figure 4. The overall geographical position of the sun in different months and different hours of the day for Isfahan.



Figure 5. The overall geographical position of the sun in different months and different hours of the day for Bandar Abbas.

Table 1 presents the climatic data of Isfahan and Bandar Abbas.

	[27].	
Reference	IRN_Esfahan	IRN_Bandar
	408000_	Abbas.408750_ITMY
	ITMYEPW	
Site:	Esfahan – IRN	Bandar Abbas - IRN
Location		
Time zone	{GMT + 3.0	{GMT + 3.0 Hours}
	Hours}	()
Elevation	1550	10
above sea		
level		
Standard	84038pa	101207pa
Pressure at	orosopu	101207pu
Elevation		
Data	ITMY	ITMY
Source	111/11	11.001
WMO	408000	408750
Station	408000	408750
Weather	Climate Design	Climate Design Data
	-	2013 ASHRAE
File Design Conditions	ASHRAE 2015	Handbook
Conditions	Handbook	папароок
Maximum	40.2	43.6
Dry	10.2	15.0
Bubble		
Temp		
Maximum	04-Aug	30-May
Dry	04 /142	50 Widy
Bubble		
Occurs on		
Minimum	-7.5	5.7
	-7.5	5.7
Dry Bubble		
Temp Minimum	26 Ion	20 Dec
Minimum	26-Jan	29-Dec
Dry		
Bubble		
Occurs on	10.5	20.6
Maximum	12.5	30.6
Dew Point		
Temp	10 14	20.1.1
Maximum	18-Mar	20-Jul
Dew Point		

Table1. Climate data for Isfahan and Bandar Abbas [27].

Minimum Dew Point	-26.6		-17.1		Tab	le 3. The	e propert	tes of th valls [27		ais useu	in the
Temp Minimum Dew Point	28-Aug		28-Nov	_	Material	Thickn (m)		ensity g/m³)	Conduc (W/n	-	Specific heat (J/kgK)
Occurs on					Slate	0.3	2	2400	2.2	2	1000
ASHRAE	Warm-D	ry	Very Hot -	Dry	Mortar	0.01	5 2	2800	0.8	8	896
Description ASHRAE	3B		1B		Brick sofall	0.2	1	500	0.4	5	840
Climate	50		10		Plaster	0.00	5	600	0.1	6	1000
Zone					(lightweight)	0.00.	5	000	0.1	0	1000
The prop	erties of th	e materia	ls used in the		Brickwork	0.1	1	700	0.8	34	800
		sented in	n Tables 2	and 3,		0.07	95 35		0).034	1400
respective	ly.				Extruded						
Table 0	The sum set	4			plystyrene-						
Table 2.		ties of the ceiling [27	materials use	a in the	CO2						
Materia	Thickne	Densi	Conductiv	Specif	_blowing Concrete	0.1	1	400	0.5	'n	1000
l	ss	ty	ity	ic	block	0.1	ļ	400	0.5	2	1000
	(m)	(kg/m	(W/mK)	heat	(medium)						
		3)		(J/kg	Gypsum	0.01	3 1	000	0.4	4	1000
	0.1		1.15	K)	Plastering						
Asphalt- roofing, mastic	0.1	2330	1.15	840 —		e 4 sho		soil ter	mperatur	e in d	ifferent
2010 NCM	0.03			1000		•	ear and	at differ	ent dept		
		1100	1	1000	cities	•	ear and	at differ	ent dept		
membra ne		1100	1	1000	cities Tabl	•	soil tem differen	perature t depths	e in differ in Isfah	hs in the rent mo	ese two nths of
membra	0.07		1		cities Tabl a yes	ar and at	soil tem differen A	perature t depths .bbas [2	e in differ in Isfaha 7].	hs in the rent mo an and l	ese two nths of
membra ne	0.07	2400		1000 1000	cities Tabl	ar and at	soil tem differen A ace	perature t depths	e in differ in Isfaha 7]. ow	hs in the rent mo	ese two nths of
membra ne Concret	0.07				cities Tabl a yes	ar and at Surfa Mont	soil tem differen A ace	perature t depths .bbas [2 Shall mont	e in differ in Isfaha 7]. ow	hs in the rent mo an and l	ese two nths of
membra ne Concret e, high	0.07				cities Tabl a yes	ar and at Surfa Mont	soil tem differen A ace thly	perature t depths lbbas [2 Shall mont Temj e	e in differ in Isfaha 7]. ow chly peratur	hs in the rent mo an and l	ese two nths of
membra ne Concret e, high density Concret e,		2400	2	1000	cities Tabl a yes	ar and at Surfa Surfa temp	soil tem differen A ace thly beratures	perature t depths bbas [2 Shall mont Temj e (2m	e in differ in Isfaha 7]. ow thly peratur depth)	hs in the rent mo an and l C	ese two nths of 3andar
membra ne Concret e, high density Concret e, reinforc		2400	2	1000	cities Tabl a yes	ar and at Surfa Surfa temp	soil tem differen A ace thly beratures Bandar	perature t depths bbas [2 Shall mont Temj e (2m	e in differ in Isfahr 7]. ow chly peratur depth) Bandar	hs in the rent mo an and l C	ese two nths of Bandar Bandar
membra ne Concret e, high density Concret e, reinforc ed		2400	2	1000	cities Tabl a yes Month	e 4. The ar and at Surfa mont temp	soil tem differen A ace thly seratures Bandar Abbas	perature t depths bbas [2 Shall mont Temj e (2m Isfahan	e in differ in Isfah 7]. ow chly peratur depth) Bandar Abbas	hs in the rent mo an and l C Isfahan	ese two nths of Bandar Abbas
membra ne Concret e, high density Concret e, reinforc ed (with		2400	2	1000	cities Tabl a yes	e 4. The ar and at Surfa mont temp	soil tem differen A ace thly beratures Bandar	perature t depths bbas [2 Shall mont Temj e (2m	e in differ in Isfahr 7]. ow chly peratur depth) Bandar	hs in the rent mo an and l C	ese two nths of Bandar Bandar
membra ne Concret e, high density Concret e, reinforc ed (with 1%		2400	2	1000	cities Tabl a yes Month	e 4. The ar and at Surfa mont temp [sfahan 17.9 2	soil tem differen A ace thly seratures Bandar Abbas	perature t depths bbas [2 Shall mont Temj e (2m Isfahan	e in differ in Isfah 7]. ow chly peratur depth) Bandar Abbas	hs in the rent mo an and l C Isfahan 12.5	ese two nths of Bandar Bandar Abbas
membra ne Concret e, high density Concret e, reinforc ed (with 1% steel)	0.03	2400 2300	2 2.3	1000	cities Tabl a yes Month Jan.	e 4. The ar and at Surfa mont temp [sfahan 17.9 2	soil tem differen A ace thly beratures Bandar Abbas 18.13	perature t depths bbas [2 Shall mont Tem] e (2m Isfahan 9.2	e in differ in Isfaha 7]. ow thly peratur depth) Bandar Abbas 21.3	hs in the rent mo an and l C Isfahan	ese two nths of Bandar Bandar Abbas 23.2
membra ne Concret e, high density Concret e, reinforc ed (with 1%		2400	2	1000 1000	cities Tabl a yes Month Jan.	s. le 4. The ar and at Surfa mont temp [sfahan 17.9 2 17.6 5	soil tem differen A ace thly beratures Bandar Abbas 18.13	perature t depths bbas [2 Shall mont Tem] e (2m Isfahan 9.2	e in differ in Isfaha 7]. ow thly peratur depth) Bandar Abbas 21.3	hs in the rent mo an and l C Isfahan 12.5	ese two nths of Bandar Bandar Abbas 23.2

Apr.	19.2 5	19.45	9.3	24.9	10.7	24.6
May	19.6	19.78	14.5	28.6	13.6	27.1
Jun.	1 19.7	19.85	19.2	31	16.8	29
Jul.	2 21.3	21.42	23	32.1	19.8	30.1
Aug.	2 21.6	21.70	25.1	31.9	21.8	30.4
Sep.	2 21.6	21.72	24.6	30.2	22.3	29.7
Oct.	1	21.71			21.1	28.2
	5					
Nov.	19.9 2	20.10	17.6	24.7	18.6	26.2
Dec.	19.5 7	19.77	13.1	22.4	15.5	24.5

Tables 5-8 show the specifications of the old windows of the given building and the proposed double glazed windows. In the retrofitted case, two glass layers with thickness of 6mm and an Argon gap filling inside a 13mm interval were implemented.

Table 5. The specifications of the old windows'

		frame.		
Material	Convective heat transfer coefficien t (W/m ² k)	Radiation heat transfer coefficient (W/m ² k)	Surface resistance (W/m ² k)	U value (W/m²k)
Aluminum window frame	5.846	1.847	0.13	5.881

Table 6. The specifications of the old windows glass' properties.

Material	Totalsolartransmission(SHGC)(W/m²k)	Direct solar transmission (W/m ² k)	Light transmission	U value (W/m²k)
Sgl Clr 6mm	0.819	0.775	0.881	5.778

	windov	vs' frames [2	27].	
Material	Convective heat transfer coefficient (W/m ² k)	Radiation heat transfer coefficient (W/m ² k)	Surface resistance (W/m ² k)	U value (W/m ² k)
Aluminum window frame (with thermal break)	23.29	1.71	0.04	5.014

Table 7. The specifications of the double glazed

Table 8. The specifications of the double glazed					
windows glass' properties [27].					
Material	Total solar transmission (SHGC) (W/m ² k)	Direct solar transmission (W/m ² k)	Light transmission	С	
Dbl Clr 6mm/13mm Argon	0.704	0.604	0.781	2.51	

For the ceiling Polystyrene insulation with thickness of 5cm and heat transfer coefficient of 0.6 W/m^2K was used and for the walls Polystyrene insulation with thickness of 5cm.

Since natural ventilation should be done automatically, it is necessary to apply thermal control conditions in the windows section when natural ventilation is activated in the Design Builder. To this end, thermal sensors are placed on the windows to measure the indoor to outdoor temperature and prevent excess heat or cold from getting into the room. In this work, the windowsopening schedule was set 'off' in the winter and 'on' in the summer 24 hours a day. In the hot seasons, the sensors will send the required ventilation signal for automatic windows opening using the operators only if the outdoor temperature is lower than the indoor temperature. Regarding of hot and humid climate of the natural ventilation Bandar Abbas. was considered just for Isfahan cooling mode.

The solar panels with an area of 40 m^2 were used for partial supply of the required energy. Figure 6 shows the images of the building and solar panels. The implemented panels are installed at geographical latitude in each city that means 35 in Isfahan and 57 in Bandar Abbas. They are also installed facing south direction.



Figure 6. Schematic of the simulated building including photo voltaic panels.

By modeling natural ventilation in the software, the opening and closing schedule for the smart windows were obtained. Finally, the smart shading system installation was simulated for both cases to find its effects on energy consumption especially in the cooling mode.

3. Results and Discussion

In this part the results of the study are presented and discussed. Figures 7 and 8 show the monthly cooling and heating loads for both studied cities in the base state where no retrofit scenario has been implemented.



Figure 7. Monthly cooling loads for both studied cities in the base state.



Figure 8. Monthly heating loads for both studied cities in the base state.

Figures 9 and 10 represents the monthly cooling and heating loads for both studied cities in the state that walls insulation were included.





Figure 9. Monthly cooling loads for both studied cities in the state that walls insulation included.



Figure 10. Monthly heating loads for both studied cities in the state that walls insulation included.

Figures 11 and 12 report the monthly cooling loads for both studied cities in the state that ceiling insulation was included.



Figure 11. Monthly cooling loads for both studied cities in the state that ceiling insulation included.





Figures 13 and 14 show the monthly cooling and heating loads for both studied cities in the state that double glazed windows were included.



Figure 13. Monthly cooling loads for both studied cities in the state including double glazed windows.



Figure 14. Monthly heating loads for both studied cities in the state including double glazed windows.

Figures 15 and 16 present the Monthly cooling loads for both studied cities in the state smart shading was included.







Figure 16. Monthly heating loads for both studied cities in the state including smart shading.

In coming graphs the effect of each retrofit scenario is compared with the base state results of the city for both studied climates. Figures 17 and 18 report the cooling and heating loads of Isfahan when wall insulation is implemented, in compare with those of the base state.



Figure 17. The graph comparing cooling loads of base state and walls insulation for Isfahan.







The yearly cooling and heating loads in Isfahan showed 2.84 and 6.3% reduction when using wall insulation. The total load showed 6.2% reduction in this case.

Figure 19 and 20 show the cooling and heating loads of Bandar Abbas when wall insulation is used, in comparison with those of the base state.



Base State Building including walls insulation

Figure 19. The graph comparing cooling loads of base state and walls insulation for Bandar Abbas.



Figure 20. The graph comparing heating loads of base state and walls insulation for Bandar Abbas.

The yearly cooling loads in Bandar Abbas showed 63.7% reduction when using wall insulation. It is obvious that using wall insulation has had an increasing effect on heating loads in Banda Abbas. So it should be checked that weather it was suitable to use this insulation there or not.

In figures 21 and 22 the cooling and heating loads of Isfahan in the case of presence of ceiling insulation are compared with base state of each mode.



Figure 21. The graph comparing cooling loads of base state and ceiling insulation for Isfahan.



Figure 22. The graph comparing heating loads of base state and ceiling insulation for Isfahan.

The yearly cooling and heating loads in Isfahan showed 9.4 and 18.46% reduction when using ceiling insulation. The total loads showed 17.08% reduction in this case.

In figures 23 and 24 the cooling and heating loads of Bandar Abbas city in the case of presence of ceiling insulation are compared with those of base state for each mode.



Figure 23. The graph comparing cooling loads of base state and ceiling insulation for Bandar Abbas.



Figure 24. The graph comparing heating loads of base state and ceiling insulation for Bandar Abbas.

The yearly cooling and heating loads in Bandar Abbas showed 15 and 68.9% reduction when using wall insulation.

Figures 25 and 26 report the graph comparing heating loads of the base state and the state including double glazed windows in the city of Isfahan.







Figure 26. The graph comparing heating loads of the base state and double glazed for Isfahan.

The yearly cooling and heating loads in Isfahan showed 2.1 and 13.83% reduction when using double glazed windows. The total loads showed 13.42% reduction in this case.

Figures 27 and 28 report the graph comparing heating loads of the base state and the state including double glazed windows in the city of Bandar Abbas.



Figure 27. The graph comparing cooling loads of base state and double glazed for Bandar Abbas.



Figure 28. The graph comparing heating loads of base state and double glazed for Bandar Abbas.

The yearly cooling and heating loads in Bandar Abbas showed 6.3 and 32.64% reduction when using double glazed windows. The total loads showed 29.44% reduction in this case.

The results of cooling and heating loads in the cases of the base state and the shading included building are reported in figures 29 and 30 for the city of Isfahan.







Figure 30. The graph comparing heating loads of the base state and shading for Isfahan.

The yearly cooling loads in Isfahan showed 12.22% reduction when using smart shading in the internal part of the windows. Heating loads showed no sensitivity to shading.

The results of cooling and heating loads in the cases of the base state and the internal window shading is included, are reported in figures 31 and 32 for the city of Bandar Abbas.











Heating loads (kWh)

As it is observable there is 4.6% decrease in the cooling loads in Bandar Abbas when installing smart shadings. The shading should not be used through cold seasons there.

It is obvious that natural ventilation in the method implemented here just helps the cooling loads of Isfahan by opening the windows in a smart manner. In hot and humid climate of Bandar Abbas there is no justification for natural ventilation with some window openings. Figure 33 shows the result of cooling load variation in different months in Isfahan after implementing natural ventilation method. 7.8% of energy saving was reported.



Figure 33. The graph comparing cooling loads of base state and natural ventilation for Isfahan.

The solar generated electricity due to installed photovoltaic panels is presented in figures 34 and 35 for Isfahan and Bandar Abbas, respectively.



Figure 34. Solar panels generated electricity in Isfahan.



Figures 36 and 37 compare the electricity consumption in every month in the case of solar generation with that of the base state for Isfahan and Bandar Abbas, respectively.



Figure 36. The graph of electricity consumption without solar generation (base state) and with solar generation for Isfahan.



■ Generation (Electricity) ■ base state(Electricity)

Figure 37. The graph of electricity consumption without solar generation (base state) and with solar generation for Bandar Abbas. In Isfahan 67.3% of the yearly required electricity could be supported with use of solar generated power and in Bandar Abbas this amount was about 42.3%.

Finally the optimized states for each of cooling and heating modes are compared with the base state for both of the cities in the figures 38-41. The best state refers to the building including all retrofit scenarios.









Finally, implementing all optimizing scenarios in Isfahan resulted in 38.43 and 50.94% of the yearly cooling and heating loads, respectively.



Figure 40. Graph of monthly cooling loads for Bandar Abbas, comparing the base state with the optimized state including all scenarios.



Figure 41. Graph of monthly heating loads for Bandar Abbas, comparing the base state with the optimized state including all scenarios.

In Bandar Abbas the most optimized case showed a load reduction of 46% in cooling mode.

To validate the results of simulations the previous gas and electricity bills of the real building located in the Isfahan were used. Tables 9 and 10 present the counters data for electricity and gas, respectively.

bills and simulation.				
	Counter 1 (kWh)	Counter 2 (kWh)	Total counte rs (kWh)	Simulati on results (kWh)
January	196	117	314	276.66
February	213	70	283	282.45
March	275	141	417	423.57
April	288	156	443	528.71
May	310	140	450	527.84
June	312	139	451	428.95
July	224	111	335	316.25

Table 9. Electricity counters data from one year's bills and simulation.

August	215	108	323	274.36
Septemb er	171	183	354	266.18
October	182	127	309	266.18
Novemb er	183	125	308	266.18
Decemb er	180	130	310	257.41
Total (kW	h)		4297	4114.74

Table 10. Gas counter data from one year'	s ł	oill	s and	l
simulation.				

	Gas counter	Simulation
Month	(kWh)	(kWh)
January	3130	3186
February	2421	1679
March	1660	1296
April	1533	1289
May	1278	1289
June	1350	1289
July	2871	1248
August	4202	3945
September	7607	7939
October	8639	10072
November	8917	8780
December	7338	5244
Total	50946	47256

Simulation results showed 4.3% of relative error in the case of electricity consumption and 6.24% of it in the case of gas consumption. Both of these magnitudes were less than 10% so the results were reliable.

4. Conclusion

A climate-based study was conducted on a singlestory residential villa building. The solar energy was used for partial supply of energy needs of the building. The effects of different factors on energy consumption were studied including: thermal insulators embedded in the ceiling and walls, and installation of double-wall windows equipped with temperature sensors and smart interior shades. According to the results, in hot and dry climate of Isfahan, implementing solar panels, wall and ceiling insulation, double glazed smart windows, internal smart shading and natural ventilation lead to optimal energy consumption with 38.43 and 50.94% reduction in the cooling and heating loads, respectively. In hot and humid climate of Bandar Abbas, all of mentioned scenarios except natural ventilations were used and showed 46% thermal loads reduction. Using natural ventilation had no justification in hot and humid climates. The solar photovoltaic panels could supply 67.3% of required electricity in Isfahan and 42.3% of it in Bandar Abbas. In the future works studying the effect of solar absorption coefficient of external walls on the energy saving may be considered.

5. Acknowledgement

Authors would like to thank Alzahra University for supporting this research.

6. References

- 1. Cao, X., X. Dai, and J. Liu, Building energy-consumption status worldwide and the state-of-the-art technologies for zeroenergy buildings during the past decade. Energy and buildings, 2016. **128**: p. 198-213.
- Isaac, M. and D.P. Van Vuuren, Modeling global residential sector energy demand for heating and air conditioning in the context of climate change. Energy policy, 2009. 37(2): p. 507-521.

- 3. Stocker, T., et al., *IPCC*, 2013: summary for policymakers in climate change 2013: the physical science basis, contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Camb. Univ. Press Camb. UKNY NY USA, 2013.
- 4. Ma, Z., et al., *Existing building retrofits: Methodology and state-of-the-art*. Energy and buildings, 2012. **55**: p. 889-902.
- Chidiac, S., et al., A screening methodology for implementing cost effective energy retrofit measures in Canadian office buildings. Energy and Buildings, 2011. 43(2-3): p. 614-620.
- Sanders, K.T. and M.E. Webber, *Evaluating the energy consumed for water use in the United States.* Environmental Research Letters, 2012. 7(3): p. 034034.
- Roberts, S., Altering existing buildings in the UK. Energy policy, 2008. 36(12): p. 4482-4486.
- 8. Council, A.C. and J.L. LaSalle, *Building refurbishment guide*. Adelaide City Council, 2007.
- Itard, L. and G. Klunder, Comparing environmental impacts of renovated housing stock with new construction. Building Research & Information, 2007. 35(3): p. 252-267.
- 10. Bertone, E. and J. Wilson, *Guidelines for Building Energy Efficiency Retrofitting.*
- Latham, D., Creative Re-Use of Buildings. Volume 2: Building Types Selected Examples. 2000, Bath, UK: Donhead Publishing.
- Shipley, R., S. Utz, and M. Parsons, *Does* adaptive reuse pay? A study of the business of building renovation in Ontario, Canada. International Journal of Heritage Studies, 2006. 12(6): p. 505-520.
- 13. Douglas, J., *Building Adaptation*. 2006: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- 14. Bullen, P. and P. Love, *A new future for the past: a model for adaptive reuse decision-*

making. Built Environment Project and Asset Management, 2011.

- 15. Affzalian. K. and S. Hasanzadehrad, Reconstruction and Reuse with a Sustainable and Green Approach in *Contemporary* Iranian Residential Architecture, in Forth Conference on New Technologies in the Building Industry. 1395: Sustainable Development and Construction Technologies.
- Oliveira, R.A., et al., A system for the management of old building retrofit projects in historical centres: the case of Portugal. International Journal of Strategic Property Management, 2017. 21(2): p. 199-211.
- 17. Littlewood, J.R., et al., Introduction to a Wales project for evaluating residential retrofit measures and impacts on energy performance, occupant fuel poverty, health and thermal comfort. Energy Procedia, 2017. **134**: p. 835-844.
- D'agostino, D., P. Zangheri, and L. Castellazzi, *Towards nearly zero energy buildings in Europe: A focus on retrofit in non-residential buildings*. Energies, 2017. 10(1): p. 117.
- 19. Namdarakbary, S. and A. Asghary. Placement of Creation and Development of Zero Energy **Buildings** in Iranian *Metropolises* (Case Study: Tabriz, Metropolis). in Islamic World Conference Civil. Architecture and on Urban Development. 1397. Tabriz: Tabriz University.
- Arias, A.S.M., Facade retrofit: enhancing energy performance in existing buildings. 2013, University of Southern California.
- Shan, M., et al., Energy and environment in Chinese rural buildings: Situations, challenges, and intervention strategies. Building and Environment, 2015. 91: p. 271-282.
- 22. Harrison, S., Zero Energy Building Definitions and Policy Activity. 2018,

IPEEC Building Energy Efficiency Taskgroup.

- 23. Torcellini, P., S. Pless, and M. Deru, Zero Energy Buildings: A Critical Look at the Definition, National Renewable Energy Laboratory and Department of Energy. 2006.
- 24. Marszal, A.J. and P. Heiselberg, *A literature review of zero energy buildings (ZEB) definitions.* 2009, DCE Technical Report.
- 25. Xu Wei, Z.S., *Nearly (Net) Zero Energy Building.* 2014, China Academiy of Building Research: Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC).
- Liu, Z., et al., A comprehensive analysis on definitions, development, and policies of nearly zero energy buildings in China. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2019. 114: p. 109314.
- DesignBuilderCompany. DesignBuilder.
 2019 27/01/2020; Available from: <u>https://designbuilder.co.uk/download</u>.